

coherence tomography. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2009; 35:386–388

2. Dawson DG, Schmack I, Holley GP, Waring GO III, Grossniklaus HE, Edelhauser HF. Interface fluid syndrome in human eye bank corneas after LASIK; causes and pathogenesis. *Ophthalmology* 2007; 114:1848–1859

**REPLY:** We appreciate and agree with the comments by Waring regarding the term we proposed in our case report of steroid-induced lamellar keratitis (SILK). George Waring correctly asserts that the appropriate term is interface fluid syndrome (IFS) after LASIK.

We would like to emphasize that the term *SILK* or *keratitis* was already present in the literature and was associated with intraocular pressure (IOP) elevation, fluid interface accumulation, and keratitis.<sup>1-3</sup> Initially, deep lamellar keratitis (DLK) and IFS may appear similar; therefore, it is important to differentiate be-

tween the two because if IFS is misdiagnosed as DLK, treatment with frequent topical steroids will probably exacerbate the increasing IOP and the fluid pocket will remain.

Since this corneal condition does not involve inflammation at first but presents with corneal edema, the term *interface fluid syndrome* may be more appropriate in this setting.—*Eliya Levinger, MD, Irit Bahar, MD, Allan R. Slomovic, MA, MD, FRCSC*

## REFERENCES

1. Amm M, Holland D, Urbat C. SILK—steroidinduzierte lamelläre Keratopathie; ein Fallbericht. [SILK—steroid-induced lamellar keratopathy; a case report]. *Ophthalmologie* 2004; 101:836–840
2. Nordlund ML, Grimm S, Lane S, Holland EJ. Pressure-induced interface keratitis: a late complication following LASIK. *Cornea* 2004; 23:225–234
3. Davidson RS, Brandt JD, Mannis MJ. Intraocular pressure-induced interlamellar keratitis after LASIK surgery. *J Glaucoma* 2003; 12:23–26

## ERRATA

In the July issue, at the end of the article “Clinical Application of a Scheimpflug System for Lens Density Measurements in Phacoemulsification” (*J Cataract Refract Surg* 2009; 35:1204-1209), the name is incorrect. The photo is of Jung-Sub Kim, MD, Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Science, Kangnam St. Mary’s Hospital, Seoul, Korea.

In the July issue, in the article “Accuracy of Scheimpflug Corneal Power Measurements for Intraocular Lens Power Calculation” (*J Cataract Refract Surg* 2009; 35:1193-1197), the fourth column head in Table 1 is incorrect. The column head should be “Scheimpflug + US.”